



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/033,716	12/27/2001	Anthony L. Fontaine	83336.0559	8636
30076 7590 09/11/2007 STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP 1330 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20036			EXAMINER BAYAT, BRADLEY B	
			ART UNIT 3621	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 09/11/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/033,716	Applicant(s) FONTAINE ET AL.	
	Examiner Bradley B. Bayat	Art Unit 3621	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 June 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters; prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4-8,10-41,43-49 and 51-84 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 77-84 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4-8,10-41,43-49 and 51-76 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 18 June 2007 has been entered.

Status of Claims

This communication is in response to remarks and amendments filed as noted above. Claims 1, 30 35, 41, 43 and 48 have been currently amended. Claims 3, 9, 42 and 50 have been canceled. New claims 77-84 have been added. Thus claims 1, 2, 4-8, 10-41, 43-49 and 51-84 remain pending.

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 77-84 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the newly added claims are directed to a jurisdiction verification system is directed to determining and verifying the geographical location of the client device based upon the challenge/response. Although the claims may present a combination/subcombination, the claims would be classified in 369/24.02.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 77-84 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to amended claims have been considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the cited reference fails to disclose a “challenge and response system for authenticating the location of a user (response p. 17-18).” The examiner respectfully disagrees because Goertzel discloses that authentication occurs at least in part based upon user credentials. The reference discloses “To create a restricted token 124, a process calls the CreateRestrictedToken API with appropriate flag settings and/or information in the input fields, which in turn invokes the NtFilterToken API. As represented beginning at step 900 of FIG. 9A, the NtFilterToken API checks to see if a flag named DISABLE_MAX_SIDS is set, which indicates that all Security IDs for groups in the new, restricted token 124 should be marked as USE_FOR_DENY_ONLY. The flag provides a convenient way to restrict the (possibly many) groups in a token without needing to individually identify each of the groups. If the flag is set, step 900 branches to step 902 which sets a bit indicating SE_FOR_DENY_ONLY on each of the group security IDs in the new token 124. Furthermore, the security ID input of the cited reference also serves as a challenge response mechanism, as claimed.

Applicant further argues that Shaffer does not teach or suggest a “first number authentication (response p. 19).” The examiner points to Shaffer’s teaching with regards to developing a spatial key wherein a challenge/response system is utilized in the determination of the location information.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3621

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4-8,10-21, 23, 24, 26-41,43-49 and 51-68 and 70-76 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goertzel et al. (hereinafter Goertzel, US 6,308,273

B1) in view of Shaffer et al. (hereinafter Shaffer), US 7,167,553 B2.

1. Goertzel discloses a system for enabling remote access to an application server, upon authentication of a location from which a user has sought access as an authorized location, for enabling processing of a transaction requiring user location authentication, wherein the user location includes means for enabling the user to request remote access to the application server, the system comprising (column 1, line 55-column 2, line 13):

- an access server, for receiving and processing a request for access to the application server from a user request enabling means, the server adapted to be located remote from the user's location (figure 4, 68 remote access server);
- an authenticator for authenticating the location of the user responsive to receipt of a processed request from the access server, the authenticator including a challenge and response system for authenticating the location of the user and the authenticator adapted to be connected to the access server, (figure 4, 71 location detection mechanism);
- means for interconnecting the access server and the authenticator (column 5, figure 5A-B, 528 lookup number in database; column 7, line 55-column 8, line 4).

Goertzel does not explicitly disclose a first number authentication mechanism, wherein the first number authentication mechanism provides anti-circumvention protection that determines a physical location of an originating number to prevent the user from connecting to the access

Art Unit: 3621

server from a physical location other than the user location and wherein the first number authenticating system relies on user input and does not rely on GPS.

Shaffer, however, teaches a communication system and method wherein the location of a remote user is determined (columns 16-17). Furthermore, Shaffer utilizes ANI, DNIS and geographic identifier in order to determine the identity and location of the caller (see also columns 25-29).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to modify the security location discrimination system and methods disclosed by Goertzel and further utilize the location determination mechanism of Shaffer, in order to prevent unauthorized and illegal access and only service authorized locations, as per teaching of Shaffer (column 1-2).

Goertzel further discloses:

2. The system of claim 1, wherein the authenticator comprises an authenticating server (figure 14 and associated text).
3. Canceled.
4. The system of claim 1, further comprising means for insuring the user's presence at the location (column 10, lines 38-52)).
- 5, 44. The system of claim 1, further comprising means for enabling the user to request remote access to the application server (figure 1 and associated text, 49 remote computer).

6. The system of claim 1, wherein the interconnecting means comprise a network (figure 1 and associated text).

7,45. The system of claim 2, wherein the authenticating server includes a database of authorized locations, for enabling verification of the location of the user as an authorized user location (figure 4, database or registered numbers 74)

8. The system of claim 2, wherein the authenticating server comprises a Remote Access Dial-In User Service (RADIUS) server (figure 5B).

36. The system of claim 3, wherein the user identity determining means comprise a challenge and response system (column 16, lines 35-47; figure 13).

10,42,57. The system of claim 4, wherein the user presence insuring means comprise a card for identifying the user, and a reader for reading the user identifying card, adapted to be connected to the user access request enabling means at the user location (column 3-4).

11. The system of claim 5, wherein the user request enabling means comprise an interface station (figure 1 and associated text, API 36, monitor 47).

Art Unit: 3621

12. The system of claim 5, wherein the user request enabling means comprise a client (column 4, lines 5-49).

13. The system of claim 5, wherein the user request enabling means include a location identifier (column 4, lines 50-column 6, line 50).

14, 37. The system of claim 5, wherein the authenticating means are adapted to issue a security challenge to the user request enabling means, and the user request enabling means are further adapted to interrogate the security challenge, to generate a response, and to transmit the response to the authenticator (column 16-17).

15, 46. The system of claim 5, wherein the user request enabling means include an identifier associated with the user's location, and the authenticator comprises means for authenticating the identifier associated with the user's location (column 4, lines 50-column 6, line 50).

16. The system of claim 5, wherein the user request enabling means include a dialer, located at the user's location, and wherein the dialer includes a number associated therewith (Figure 5B)

17, 47. The system of claim 5, wherein the user request enabling means comprise a plurality of user request enabling means, and the interconnecting means comprise a network comprising an intranet which includes at least one local area network, adapted to interconnect at least one of the plurality of user request enabling means and the access server (figures 1, 2, and associated text).

Art Unit: 3621

18. The system of claim 5, wherein the interconnecting means are further adapted to interconnect the user request enabling means (figure 1, 2 and associated text).

19. The system of claim 6, wherein the network comprises an intranet (figure 2 and associated text).

20. The system of claim 6, wherein the network comprises the Internet (figure 2 and associated text).

21, 38. The system of claim 8, further comprising means for enabling the user to request remote access to the application server, wherein the authenticating server is further adapted to issue a security challenge to the user request enabling means (see above cited corresponding sections).

23, 31. The system of claim 16, wherein the authenticator comprises a number identifier for identifying the number associated with the dialer located at the user's location (see above cited corresponding sections).

24, 32. The system of claim 16, wherein a dialing system includes a plurality of numbers each associated with one of a plurality of dialers adapted to enable dialing therefrom and each dialer associated with a different user location, and the authenticator further comprises means for identifying the first number dialed from in the dialing system (column 1).

26, 39. The system of claim 21, wherein the user request enabling means are adapted to issue a response to the security challenge, and the authenticating means include a database for enabling verification of the response of the user request enabling means to the security challenge (see above cited corresponding sections).

27, 33. The system of claim 23, wherein the number identifier comprises Automatic Number Identification (column 7, lines 55-59).

28, 34. The system of claim 24, wherein the first number identifying means comprises Dialed Number Identification Services (Figure 5B).

29, 40. The system of claim 26, wherein the authenticator is further adapted to verify the response of the user request enabling means to the security challenge based on the database in the authenticator, and to authorize access to the application server (see above cited corresponding sections).

30, 35. Goertzel discloses a system for enabling remote access to an application server, upon authentication of a location from which a user has sought access as an authorized location, for enabling processing of a transaction requiring user location authentication, wherein the user location includes means for enabling the user to request remote access to the application server, the system comprising:

Art Unit: 3621

- an access server, for receiving and processing a request for access to the application server from a user request enabling means, the server adapted to be located remote from the user's location (see above cited corresponding sections);
- an authenticator for authenticating the location of the user responsive to receipt of the processed request from the access server, the authenticator adapted to be connected to the access server, the authenticator including a Remote Access Dial-In Service (RADIUS) server and a challenge and response system for authenticating the location of the user (see above cited corresponding sections);
- means for interconnecting the access server and the authenticator (see above cited corresponding sections); and
- means for enabling the user to request remote access to the application server, such means including a dialer, located at the user's location, wherein the dialer includes a dialing number associated therewith; and (see above cited corresponding sections)

Goertzel does not explicitly disclose a first number authentication mechanism, wherein the first number authentication mechanism provides anti-circumvention protection that determines a physical location of an originating number to prevent the user from connecting to the access server from a physical location other than the user location and wherein the first number authenticating system is a non-global positioning satellite system.

Shaffer, however, teaches a communication system and method wherein the location of a remote user is determined (columns 16-17). Furthermore, Shaffer utilizes ANI, DNIS and geographic identifier in order to determine the identity and location of the caller (see also columns 25-29).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to modify the security location discrimination system and methods disclosed by Goertzel and further utilize the location determination mechanism of Shaffer, in order to prevent unauthorized and illegal access and only service authorized locations, as per teaching of Shaffer (column 1-2).

41. Goertzel discloses a system for enabling remote access to an application server, upon authentication of a location from which a user has sought access as an authorized location, for enabling processing of a transaction requiring user location authentication, wherein the user location includes means for enabling the user to request remote access to the application server, the system comprising:

- an access server, for receiving and processing a request for access to the application server from a user request enabling means, the server adapted to be located remote from the user's location (see above cited corresponding sections);
- an authenticator for authenticating the location of the user with a challenge and response system adapted to be connected to the access server (see above cited corresponding sections);
- a means for interconnecting the access server and the authenticator (see above cited corresponding sections);
- a means for insuring the user's presence at the location that comprises a card for identifying the user and a reader for reading the user identifying card, the reader adapted to be connected to the user access request enabling means at the user location; (see above cited corresponding sections).

Goertzel does not explicitly disclose a first number authentication mechanism, wherein the first number authentication mechanism provides anti-circumvention protection that determines a physical location of an originating number to prevent the user from connecting to the access server from a physical location other than the user location and wherein the first number authenticating system is a non-global positioning satellite system.

Shaffer, however, teaches a communication system and method wherein the location of a remote user is determined (columns 16-17). Furthermore, Shaffer utilizes ANI, DNIS and geographic identifier in order to determine the identity and location of the caller (see also columns 25-29).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to modify the security location discrimination system and methods disclosed by Goertzel and further utilize the location determination mechanism of Shaffer, in order to prevent unauthorized and illegal access and only service authorized locations, as per teaching of Shaffer (column 1-2).

43. Goertzel discloses a system for enabling remote access to an application server, upon authentication of a location from which a user has sought access as an authorized location, for enabling processing of a transaction requiring user location authentication, wherein the user location includes means for enabling the user to request remote access to the application server, the system comprising:

- an access server, for receiving and processing a request for access to the application server from a user request enabling means, the server adapted to be located remote from the user's location (see above cited corresponding sections);

Art Unit: 3621

- an authenticating server for authenticating the location of the user responsive to receipt of the processed request from the access server via a challenge and response system, the authenticating server adapted to be connected to the access server (see above cited corresponding sections); and
- a network for interconnecting the access server and the authenticating server (see above cited corresponding sections)

Goertzel does not explicitly disclose a first number authentication mechanism, wherein the first number authentication mechanism provides anti-circumvention protection that determines a physical location of an originating number to prevent the user from connecting to the access server from a physical location other than the user location and wherein the first number authenticating system is a non-global positioning satellite system.

Shaffer, however, teaches a communication system and method wherein the location of a remote user is determined (columns 16-17). Furthermore, Shaffer utilizes ANI, DNIS and geographic identifier in order to determine the identity and location of the caller (see also columns 25-29).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to modify the security location discrimination system and methods disclosed by Goertzel and further utilize the location determination mechanism of Shaffer, in order to prevent unauthorized and illegal access and only service authorized locations, as per teaching of Shaffer (column 1-2).

48. Goertzel discloses a method of enabling remote access to an application server, upon authentication of a location from which a user has sought access thereto as an authorized

Art Unit: 3621

location, for enabling processing of a transaction requiring user location authentication, wherein the user location includes means for enabling the user to request remote access to the application server, in a system which comprises an access server, for receiving and processing a request for access to the application server from user request enabling means, adapted to be located remote from the user's location, an authenticator for authenticating the identity and the location of the user responsive to receipt of the processed request from the access server, adapted to be connected to the access server, and means for interconnecting the access server and the authenticator, wherein the method comprises:

- requesting an access server to enable a user at a user's location to access the application server (see above cited corresponding sections)
- authenticating the location of the user via the authenticator (see above cited corresponding sections);
- authenticating the identity of the user via the authenticator; and
- determining in the authenticator whether to enable the user to access the application server based on the authenticating of the user's location (see above cited corresponding sections); and

Goertzel does not explicitly disclose a first number authentication mechanism, wherein the first number authentication mechanism provides anti-circumvention protection that determines a physical location of an originating number to prevent the user from connecting to the access server from a physical location other than the user location and wherein the first number authenticating system is a non-global positioning satellite system.

Shaffer, however, teaches a communication system and method wherein the location of a remote user is determined (columns 16-17). Furthermore, Shaffer utilizes ANI, DNIS and geographic identifier in order to determine the identity and location of the caller (see also columns 25-29).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to modify the security location discrimination system and methods disclosed by Goertzel and further utilize the location determination mechanism of Shaffer, in order to prevent unauthorized and illegal access and only service authorized locations, as per teaching of Shaffer (column 1-2).

Claims 22, 25 and 69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goertzel et al. (hereinafter Goertzel), U.S. Patent 6,508,710 B1.

Goertzel discloses a system/method for enabling remote access to an application server, upon authentication of a location from which a user has sought access as an authorized location, for enabling processing of a transaction requiring user location authentication, wherein the user location includes means for enabling the user to request remote access to the application server, the system comprising (column 1, line 55-column 2, line 13):

- an access server, for receiving and processing a request for access to the application server from a user request enabling means, the server adapted to be located remote from the user's location (figure 4, 68 remote access server);
- an authenticator for authenticating the location of the user responsive to receipt of a processed request from the access server, the authenticator adapted to be connected to the access server (figure 4, 71 location detection mechanism);

Art Unit: 3621

- means for interconnecting the access server and the authenticator (column 5, figure 5A-B, 528 lookup number in database; column 7, line 55-column 8, line 4).

Goertzel does not explicitly disclose a first number authentication mechanism, wherein the first number authentication mechanism provides anti-circumvention protection that determines a physical location of an originating number to prevent the user from connecting to the access server from a physical location other than the user location.

Shaffer, however, teaches a communication system and method wherein the location of a remote user is determined (columns 16-17). Furthermore, Shaffer utilizes ANI, DNIS and geographic identifier in order to determine the identity and location of the caller (see also columns 25-29).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to modify the security location discrimination system and methods disclosed by Goertzel and further utilize the location determination mechanism of Shaffer, in order to prevent unauthorized and illegal access and only service authorized locations, as per teaching of Shaffer (column 1-2).

A cookie is a block of data that a server returns to a client in response to a request from the client and commonly used to identify a user and is thus old and well known in the computer art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement a cookie as part of the authentication process to efficiently verify the location information of a returning user for enabling access.

US references 6,715,080; 6,606,708; 6,511,339 further support the examiner's contention that the use of a cookie for the above noted purpose is well-known in the art.

Art Unit: 3621

Corresponding claims 49 and 51-76 are directed to a method of the above claimed invention and are therefore rejected as above.

Although the Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior art(s) of record in the body of this action, the specified citations are merely representative of the teachings in the art as applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim. Since other passages and figures may apply to the claimed invention as well, it is respectfully requested that the applicant, in preparing the response, to consider fully the entire references as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior arts or disclosed by the examiner.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley B. Bayat whose telephone number is 571-272-6704. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 8 a.m.-6:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Fischer can be reached on 571-272-6779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Bradley B. Bayat", with a stylized horizontal line extending to the right.

Bradley B. Bayat
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3621